arXiv:1412.8337v1 [math.DS] 29 Dec 2014

RENORMALIZATION OF C^r HÉNON MAP : TWO DIMENSIONAL EMBEDDED MAP IN THREE DIMENSION

YOUNG WOO NAM

ABSTRACT. We study renormalization of highly dissipative analytic three dimensional Hénon maps

$$F(x, y, z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ x,\ \delta(x, y, z))$$

where $\varepsilon(x, y, z)$ is a sufficiently small perturbation of $\varepsilon_{2d}(x, y)$. Under certain conditions, C^r *single invariant surfaces* each of which is tangent to the invariant plane field over the critical Cantor set exist for $2 \leq r < \infty$. The C^r conjugation from an invariant surface to the $xy-$plane defines renormalization two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map. It also defines two dimensional *embedded* C^r *Hénon-like maps* in three dimension. In this class, universality theorem is re-constructed by conjugation. Geometric properties on the critical Cantor set in invariant surfaces are the same as those of two dimensional maps — non existence of the continuous line field, and unbounded geometry. The set of embedded two dimensional Hénon-like maps is open and dense subset of the parameter space of average Jacobian, $b_{F_{2d}}$ for any given smoothness, $2 \leq r < \infty$.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
2 Preliminaries **3**
3 Single invariant surfaces **7**
4 Universality of conjugated two dimensional Hénon-like map **11**
5 Density of conjugated maps in C^r Hénon-like maps **17**
6 Unbounded geometry on the Cantor set **19**
A Appendix Periodic points and critical Cantor set **22**

Date: December 29, 2014.

College of Science and Technology, Hongik University at Sejong, Korea.
Email : namyoungwoo@hongik.ac.kr.

1. Introduction

Renormalization is for the one dimensional maps for a few recent decades by many authors in various papers. Some of main results and historical facts of renormalization theory of one dimensional maps are in [dFdMP] and references therein. Renormalization of higher dimensional maps was started by Coullet, Eckmann and Koch in [CEK]. Period doubling renormalization of analytic Hénon map with strong dissipativeness was introduced in [dCLM]. The average Jacobian b_F of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map, F, is defined

$$b_F = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \operatorname{Jac} F \, d\mu$$

where $\mathcal{O}_F$ is the critical Cantor set and μ is the ergodic measure on $\mathcal{O}_F$. Carvalho, Lyubich and Martens in [dCLM] proved Universality Theorem and showed geometric properties of the critical Cantor set which are different from those of one dimensional maps. For instance, generic unbounded geometry of the critical Cantor set in the parameter space of the average Jacobian was shown and this geometric property is generalized for the full Lebesgue measure set in [HLM].

Hénon renormalization is generalized for three dimensional analytic Hénon-like map in [Nam1]. For instance, the universal asymptotic expression of R^nF is

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^nF(x,y,z) = b_F^{2^n} a(x)(1+O(\rho^n))$$

where $a(x)$ is analytic and positive for $0 < \rho < 1$. However, the universal expression of Jacobian determinant of three dimensional renormalized map does not imply the Universal Theorem because the Jacobian determinant, $\operatorname{Jac} R^nF = \partial_y\varepsilon_n\partial_z\delta_n - \partial_z\varepsilon_n\partial_y\delta_n$ contains partial derivatives of both ε and δ. Moreover, infinitely renormalizable Hénon map has maximal Lyapunov exponent is zero. Thus $\ln b$ is the other exponent for two dimensional map. However, since $\ln b_F$ for three dimensional map is not an exponent but the sum of Lyapunov exponents. Thus two universal numbers for three dimensional maps would be required in order to explain geometric properties of $\mathcal{O}_F$. One of the universal numbers is a counterpart of the average Jacobian of two dimensional map. The universal numbers, b_1 and b_2 which represent two dimensional Hénon-like map in three dimension and contraction from the third dimension were found in [Nam1] under certain conditions. For the precise formulation, see §2.5.

In the present paper, three dimensional Hénon-like maps with certain conditions has single invariant C^r surfaces for any natural number $2 \le r < \infty$ and it is asymptotically slanted plane (Proposition 3.3). The map from invariant surface to $xy-$plane defines the renormalization of C^r Hénon-like maps and it is the same as the analytic definition of Hénon renormalization (Proposition 4.1)

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}.$$

Moreover, Universality Theorem for C^r Hénon-like map is re-constructed by invariant surfaces (Theorem 4.3). It defines the *embedded two dimensional Hénon-like map* in *three dimension*. Moreover, two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map is embedded in three dimension generically in the set of parameter space of average Jacobian (Theorem 5.5). The universal numbers of three dimensional Hénon-like map, b_1 which is the average Jacobian of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map and $b_2 \equiv b_F/b_1$, we would show the unbounded geometry of

$\mathcal{O}_F$ for almost everywhere in the parameter space of b_1 of embedded C^r Hénon-like maps (Theorem 6.3).

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notations. For the given map F, if a set A is related to F, then we denote it to be $A(F)$ or A_F and F can be skipped if there is no confusion without F. The domain of F is denoted to be $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$. If $F(B) \subset B$, then we call B is an (forward) invariant set under F. The set $\overline{A}$ in the given topology is called the closure of A. For three dimensional map, let us the projection from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to its $x-$axis, $y-$axis and $z-$axis be π_x, π_y and π_z respectively. Moreover, the projection from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to $xy-$plane be π_{xy} and so on.

Let $C^r(X)$ be the Banach space of all real functions on X for which the r^{th} derivative is continuous. The C^r norm of $h \in C^r(X)$ is defined as follows

$$\|h\|_{C^r} = \max_{1 \le k \le r} \left\{ \|h\|_0,\ \|D^k h\|_0 \right\}.$$

For analytic maps, since C^0 norm bounds C^r norm for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we often use the norm, $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_0$ or $\|\cdot\|_{C^k}$. For the two sets S and T in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the minimal distance of two sets is defined as

$$\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(S,T) = \inf \{\mathrm{dist}(p,q) \mid p \in S \text{ and } q \in T\}$$

The set of periodic points of the map F is denoted by Per_F. $A = O(B)$ means that there exists a positive number C such that $A \le CB$. Moreover, $A \asymp B$ means that there exists a positive number C which satisfies $\frac{1}{C}B \le A \le CB$.

2.2. Renormalization of two and three dimensional Hénon-like maps. Two dimensional Hénon-like map is defined as

$$F(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y),\ x)$$

where f is a unimodal map. Assume that the norm of ε is sufficiently small and F is orientation preserving map. Since F^2 is not Hénon-like map, the non linear scaling map for renormalization of Hénon-like map, F. The horizontal map of F is defined

$$H(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y),\ y).$$

The *period doubling* renormalization of F is defined as

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}$$

where $\Lambda(x,y) = (sx,\ sy)$ for the appropriate number $s < -1$ in [dCLM]. Moreover, Hénon renormalization theory is extended for three dimensional Hénon-like map in [Nam1] with third coordinate map as follows

$$F(x,y,z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y,z),\ x,\ \delta(x,y,z)).$$

We assume that the norms of both ε and δ are sufficiently small and that the three dimensional map F is analytic throughout this paper. The domain of F is cubic box and F has two fixed points and *sectionally dissipative* at these points. The horizontal-like map is defined

$$H(x,y,z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y,z),\ y,\ z - \delta(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)).$$

Thus the (period doubling) renormalization of three dimensional map is the natural extension of two dimensional Hénon-like map as follows

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}$$

where $\Lambda(x, y, z) = (sx,\ sy,\ sz)$ for the appropriate number $s < -1$.

2.3. Basic facts. Let the set of infinitely renormalizable maps be $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ where the norm $\|\varepsilon\|$ and $\|\delta\|$ (for three dimensional maps) are bounded above by $O(\varepsilon)$ where $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is a small enough positive number. The following definitions and facts are common in both two and three dimensional Hénon-like maps in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$.

If F is $n-$times renormalizable, then R^kF is defined as the renormalization of $R^{k-1}F$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Denote $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$ to be the box region, B. If the set B is emphasized with the relation of a certain map R^kF, for example, then denote this region to be $B(R^kF)$.

F_k denotes R^kF for each k. Let the coordinate change map which conjugates $F_k^2|_{\Lambda_k^{-1}(B)}$ and RF_k is denoted by

$$\psi_v^{k+1} \equiv H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1} \colon \mathrm{Dom}(RF_k) \to \Lambda_k^{-1}(B)$$

where H_k is the horizontal-like diffeomorphism and Λ_k is dilation with each appropriate constants $s_k < -1$ for each k. Denote $F_k \circ \psi_v^{k+1}$ by ψ_c^{k+1}. The word of length n in the Cartesian product, $W^n \equiv \{v, c\}^n$ is denoted by $\mathbf{w}_n$ or simply $\mathbf{w}$. Express the compositions of ψ_v^j and ψ_c^j for $k \leq j \leq n$ as follows

$$\Psi_{k,\,\mathbf{w}}^n = \psi_{w_1}^k \circ \psi_{w_2}^{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{w_{n-k}}^n$$

where each w_i is v or c and the word $\mathbf{w} = (w_1 w_2 \dots w_{n-k})$ in W^{n-k}. The map $\Psi_{k,\,\mathbf{w}}^n$ is from $B(R^nF)$ to $B(R^kF)$. Denote the region $\Psi_{k,\,\mathbf{w}}^n(B(R^nF))$ by $B_{k,\mathbf{w}}^n$. In particular, denote $B_{0,\,\mathbf{w}}^n$ by $B_{\mathbf{w}}^n$ for simplicity. We see that

$$\mathrm{diam}(B_{\mathbf{w}}^n) \leq C\sigma^n \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathbf{w}$ is any word of length n in W^n for some $C > 0$ in [dCLM] or [Nam]. If F is a infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map, then it has invariant Cantor set

$$\mathcal{O}_F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\mathbf{w} \in W^n} B_{\mathbf{w}}^n$$

and F acts on $\mathcal{O}_F$ as a dyadic adding machine. The counterpart of the critical value of unimodal renormalizable map is called the *tip*

$$\{\tau_F\} \equiv \bigcap_{n \geq 0} B_{\mathbf{v}}^n$$

where $\mathbf{v} = v^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The word $\mathbf{w} \in W^\infty$ for each $w \in \mathcal{O}$ is called the *address* of w. Similarly, the word with finite length $\mathbf{w}_n \in W^n$ corresponding the region, $B_{\mathbf{w}_n}^n$ is called the address of box. Moreover, since each box, $B_{\mathbf{w}_n}^n$ contains a unique periodic point with minimal period, 2^n, the *address* of *periodic point* is also defined as that of $B_{\mathbf{w}_n}^n$. The first successive finite concatenation of the given address, $\mathbf{w}$ is called the *subaddress* of w. By Distortion Lemma and the average Jacobian with invariant measure, we see the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For any piece $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ at any point $w = (x, y, z) \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$, the Jacobian determinant of F^{2^n} is*

$$\operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(w) = b_F^{2^n}(1 + O(\rho^n)) \tag{2.2}$$

where b is the average Jacobian of F for some $0 < \rho < 1$.

Then there exists the asymptotic expression of $\operatorname{Jac} R^n F$ for the map $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with b_F and the universal function.

Theorem 2.2 ([dCLM] and [Nam])**.** *For the map $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with small enough positive number $\bar{\varepsilon}$, the Jacobian determinant of n^{th} renormalization of F is as follows*

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^n F = b_F^{2^n} a(x)\,(1 + O(\rho^n)) \tag{2.3}$$

where b_F is the average Jacobian of F and $a(x)$ is the universal positive function for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$.

Denote the tip, τ_{F_n} to be τ_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The definitions of tip and $\Psi^n_{k,\,\mathbf{v}}$ imply that $\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(\tau_n) = \tau_k$ for $k < n$. Then after composing appropriate translations, tips on each level moves to the origin as the fixed point

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}(w + \tau_n) - \tau_k$$

for $k < n$. Notations with the subscript, $\mathbf{v}$ is strongly related to the tip. For instance, $B^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}$ contains the tip, τ_k for every $n > k$ and $\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}$ is the map from the tip, τ_n to the tip τ_k for every $n > k$. Thus in order to emphasize the tip on every deep level, we sometimes use the notation $B^n_{k,\mathrm{tip}}$ or $\Psi^n_{k,\mathrm{tip}}$ instead of $B^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}$ or $\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}$. Moreover, if we need to distinguish three dimensional notions from two dimensional one, then we use the subscript, $2d$. For example, ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_k$, ${}_{2d}B^n_{k,\mathbf{v}}$, ${}_{2d}t_{n,\,k}$, ${}_{2d}S^n_k(w)$ and so on.

2.4. Three dimensional coordinate change map, Ψ^n_k. The map Ψ^n_k is separated non linear part and dilation part after reshuffling

$$\Psi^n_k(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & u_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & d_{n,\,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_k(w) \\ y \\ z + R^n_k(y) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ and $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k))$. The non-linear map $x + S^n_k(w)$ has following asymptotic with the universal diffeomorphism $v_*(x)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x + S^n_k(w)$ be the first coordinate map of three dimensional coordinate change map in* (2.4) *for infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map. Then*

$$|[x + S^n_0(x, y, z)] - [v_*(x) + a_{F,1}y^2 + a_{F,2}yz + a_{F,3}z^2]| = O(\rho^n) \tag{2.5}$$

where constants $|a_{F,1}|$, $|a_{F,2}|$ and $|a_{F,3}|$ are $O(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, for each fixed y and z, the above asymptotic has C^1 convergence with the variable x.

The constants $t_{n,\,k}$, $u_{n,\,k}$ and $d_{n,\,k}$ converges to some numbers — say $t_{*,\,k}$, $u_{*,\,k}$ and $d_{*,\,k}$ respectively — super exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. Moreover, estimation of the above constants is following

$$|t_{n,\,k}|,\ |u_{n,\,k}|,\ |d_{n,\,k}| \le C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} \tag{2.6}$$

for $k < n$ and for some constant $C > 0$. Lemma 5.1 in [Nam2] contains the detailed calculation for these constants. Moreover, Lemma 5.2 in [Nam2] implies that

$$\|R_k^n\|_{C^1} \leq C\sigma^n \tag{2.7}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of n. Recall the following definitions for later use

$$\Lambda_n^{-1}(w) = \sigma_n \cdot w, \quad \psi_v^{n+1}(w) = H_n^{-1}(\sigma_n w), \quad \psi_c^{n+1}(w) = F_n \circ H_n^{-1}(\sigma_n w)$$
$$\psi_v^{n+1}(B(R^{n+1}F)) = B_v^{n+1}, \qquad \psi_c^{n+1}(B(R^{n+1}F)) = B_c^{n+1}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.5. Toy model Hénon-like maps. Let Hénon-like map satisfying $\varepsilon(w) = \varepsilon(x, y)$, that is, $\partial_z \varepsilon \equiv 0$ be *toy model Hénon-like map*. Denote the toy model map by F_{mod}. Then the projected map $\pi_{xy} \circ F_{\text{mod}} = F_{2d}$ from B to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is exactly two dimensional Hénon-like map. If F_{mod} is renormalizable, then we have $\pi_{xy} \circ RF_{\text{mod}} = RF_{2d}$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $F_{\text{mod}} = (f(x) - \varepsilon_{2d}(x, y),\ x,\ \delta(w))$ be a toy model diffeormorphism in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Then n^{th} renormalized map $R^n F_{\text{mod}}$ is also a toy model map, that is,*

$$\pi_{xy} \circ R^n F_{\text{mod}} = R^n F_{2d}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\varepsilon_{2d,n}(x, y) = (b_1)^{2^n} a(x)\, y(1 + O(\rho^n))$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of two dimensional map, $F_{2d} = \pi_{xy} \circ F_{\text{mod}}$.

Let b_{mod} be the average Jacobian of $F_{\text{mod}} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Define another number, b_2 as the ratio b_{mod}/b_1. Then by the above Proposition $\partial_z \delta_n \asymp b_2^{2^n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is another universal number. Let the following map be a *perturbation* of toy model map, $F_{\text{mod}}(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon_{2d}(x, y),\ x,\ \delta(w))$

$$F(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(w),\ x,\ \delta(w)) \tag{2.8}$$

where $\varepsilon(w) = \varepsilon_{2d}(x, y) + \widetilde{\varepsilon}(w)$. Thus $\partial_z \varepsilon(w) = \partial_z \widetilde{\varepsilon}(w)$. If $\|\widetilde{\varepsilon}\|$ is sufficiently small, then F is called a *small perturbation* of F_{mod}. Let us consider the block matrix form of DF.

(2.9)

$$DF = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & & \partial_z \varepsilon \\ & D\widetilde{F}_{2d} & 0 \\ \hline \partial_x \delta & \partial_y \delta & \partial_z \delta \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right), \quad DF_{\text{mod}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & & 0 \\ & DF_{2d} & 0 \\ \hline \partial_x \delta & \partial_y \delta & \partial_z \delta \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right)$$

where $D\widetilde{F}_{2d} = \begin{pmatrix} f'(x) - \partial_x \varepsilon(w) & -\partial_y \varepsilon(w) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $DF_{2d} = \begin{pmatrix} f'(x) - \partial_x \varepsilon_{2d}(x, y) & -\partial_y \varepsilon_{2d}(xy) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively. Observe that if $B \equiv \mathbf{0}$, then F is F_{mod}. Define $m(A)$ as $\|A^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and it is called the minimum expansion (or strongest contraction) rate of A.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 7.4 in [Nam1])**.** *Let F be a small perturbation of F_{mod} defined in* (2.8)*. Let A, A_1, B, C and D be components of the block matrix defined in* (2.9)*. Suppose that $\|D\| \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2} \cdot m(A_1)$ for some $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$. Suppose also that $\|B\|\|C\| \leq \rho_0 \cdot m(A) \cdot m(D)$ where $\rho_0 < \frac{\kappa\gamma}{2}$ for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$. Then there exist the continuous invariant plane field over the given invariant compact set, Γ.*

The tangent bundle $T_\Gamma B$ has the splitting with subbundles $E^1 \oplus E^2$ such that

(1) $T_\Gamma B = E^1 \oplus E^2$.

(2) Both E^1 and E^2 are invariant under DF.

(3) $\|DF^n|_{E^1(x)}\|\|DF^{-n}|_{E^2(F^{-n}(x))}\| \leq C\mu^n$ for some $C > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1$ and $n \geq 1$.

Then it is called that $T_\Gamma B$ has *dominated splitting* over the compact invariant set Γ. Moreover, dominated splitting implies that invariant sections are continuous by Theorem 1.2 in [New]. Then the maps, $w \mapsto E^i(w)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are continuous.

3. Single invariant surfaces

The uniform boundedness of the ratio $\|D\|\|A^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{2}$ in DF means that

$$\sup_{w \in B} \frac{\|D_w\|}{m(A_w)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

because the linear operator as the derivative is defined for each point $w \in B$. It implies the dominated splitting of tangent bundle over a given invariant compact set, Γ. If dominated splitting over a given compact set Γ satisfies that

$$\sup_{w \in B} \frac{\|D_w\|}{m(A_w)^r} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say that F has *r-dominated splitting* over Γ. Moreover, if $\|D\|$ for DF_{mod} is sufficiently smaller than b_1 for all $w \in \Gamma$, then contracting or expanding rates, $m(A)$ and $\|D\|$ are separated by a uniform constant over the whole Γ. It is called *pseudo hyperbolicity*.

3.1. Invariant surfaces and two dimensional ambient space. Dominated splitting over the given invariant compact set, Γ with smooth cut off function implies the pseudo (un)stable manifolds at each point in Γ tangent to an invariant subbundle. However, if the dominated splitting satisfies certain conditions, then the whole compact set is contained in a single invariant submanifold of the ambient space (Theorem 3.1 below).

Definition 3.1. A C^r submanifold Q which contains Γ is *locally invariant* under f if there exists a neighborhood U of Γ in Q such that $f(U) \subset Q$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of these submanifolds, see [CP] or [BC].

Theorem 3.1 ([BC])**.** *Let Γ be an invariant compact set with a dominated splitting $T_\Gamma M = E^1 \oplus E^2$ such that E^1 is uniformly contracted. Then Γ is contained in a locally invariant submanifold tangent to E^2 if and only if the strong stable leaves for the bundle E^1 intersect the set Γ at only one point.*

Moreover, the existence of invariant submanifold is robust under C^1 perturbation by [BC]. Infinitely renormalizable toy model Hénon-like map with $b_2 \ll b_1$ satisfies the sufficient condition for the existence of locally invariant single surfaces by Lemma A.2. By C^1 robustness,

the ambient space of toy model maps and its sufficiently small perturbation can be reduced to a single invariant surface.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 is extended to the existence of C^r invariant submanifold with $r-$dominated splitting. Moreover, the given invariant compact set can be extended to the maximal one.

Lemma 3.2. *Let F_{mod} be a toy model map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Suppose that $b_2 \ll b_1$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of $\pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ has the dominated splitting in Lemma 2.5. Moreover, there exists a locally invariant C^1 single surface Q which contains $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ and Q meets transversally and uniquely strong stable manifold, $W^{ss}(w)$ at each $w \in \overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$.*

Proof. One of the eigenvalues of DF_{mod} at each point is asymptotically b_2 with the eigenvector (0 0 1) by straightforward calculation. Thus dominated splitting exists with the condition $b_2 \ll b_1$ over any invariant compact set, in particular, $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Each cone of the vector (0 0 1) at all points is disjoint from the invariant plane field, say E^{pu} - tangent subbundle with pseudo unstable direction. Thus any invariant surface, Q tangent to E^{pu} over $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ meets transversally the strong stable manifold. Let us show the uniqueness of intersection point. Suppose that w and w' are intersection points between Q and $W^{ss}(w)$. If $w' \neq w$, then $w' \notin \overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{\mathrm{mod}}$ by Lemma A.2. Take a small neighborhood U of w' in the invariant surface Q. Then U converges to the neighborhood of $F^n(w)$ in Q as $n \to \infty$ by Inclination Lemma. Thus Q cannot be a submanifold of the ambient space because it accumulates itself. It contradicts to Theorem 3.1. Hence, w is the unique intersection point. □

Recall that three dimensional Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ is sectionally dissipative at each periodic points. Thus the invariant plane field over $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ contains the unstable direction of each periodic point. Then Q contains the set

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{O} \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 1} W^u(\mathrm{Orb}(q_n))$$

where each q_n is a periodic point whose period is 2^n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is called the *global attracting set*.

3.2. Invariant surfaces containing $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}$ as the graph of C^r map. Let F_{mod} be the Hénon-like toy model map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let b_1 be the average Jacobian of $F_{2d} \equiv \pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}$ and assume that $b_2 \ll b_1$. The set of lines perpendicular to $xy-$plane

$$\bigcup_{(x,\,y) \in \pi_{xy}(B)} \{(x,\, y,\, z) \mid z \in I^z\} \tag{3.1}$$

is invariant under F_{mod}. Thus the invariant section, $w \mapsto E^{ss}(w)$ is constant. The above set, (3.1) contains the strong stable manifold over Γ. The angle between each tangent spaces E^{ss}_w and E^{pu}_w is (uniformly) positive. Thus the maximal angle between E^{pu} and $T\mathbb{R}^2$ is less than $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

Remark 3.2. If $T_\Gamma B = E^{ss} \oplus E^{pu}$ is $r-$dominated splitting, then Q which is invariant single surface tangent to E^{pu} is a C^r surface. Moreover, since the strong stable manifolds at each point is the set of perpendicular lines to $xy-$plane, Q is the graph of C^r function from a region in $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z.

Let $F_{\mathrm{mod}} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with $b_2 \ll b_1$. Then by above Lemma 3.2, we may assume invariant surfaces tangent to the invariant plane field has the neighborhood, say also Q, of the tip, $\tau_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ in the given invariant single surface which satisfies the following properties.

(1) Q is contractible.

(2) Q contains $\tau_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ in its interior and is locally invariant under F^{2^N} for big enough $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

(3) Topological closure of Q is the graph of C^r map from a neighborhood of $\tau\big(\pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}\big)$ in $xy-$plane to I^z.

By C^1 robustness of the existence of single invariant surfaces, let F be a *sufficiently small perturbation* of F_{mod} such that there exist invariant surfaces each of which is the graph of C^r map from a region in the $xy-$plane to I^z.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Suppose that there exists an invariant surface under F, say Q which is the graph of C^r function, ξ on $\pi_{xy}(B^n_{\mathrm{tip}})$ such that $\|D\xi\| \leq C_0$ for some $C_0 > 0$. Then $Q_n \equiv \big(\Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}\big)^{-1}(Q)$ is the graph of a C^r function ξ_n on $\pi_{xy}\big(B(R^nF)\big)$ such that*

$$\xi_n(x, y) = c_0 y(1 + O(\sigma^n))$$

for some constant c_0.

Proof. The n^{th} renormalization of F, R^nF is $\big(\Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}\big)^{-1} \circ F^{2^n} \circ \Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}$. Thus $Q_n \equiv \big(\Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}\big)^{-1}(Q)$ is an invariant surface under R^nF. Let us choose a point $w' = (x', y', z') \in Q \cap B^n_0$ where $B^n_{\mathrm{tip}} \equiv \Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}(B(R^nF))$ and $z' = \xi(x', y')$. Thus

$$\mathrm{graph}(\xi) = (x',\, y',\, \xi(x', y')) = (x',\, y',\, z').$$

Moreover, let $\big(\Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}\big)^{-1}(x',\, y',\, z') = (x,\, y,\, z) \in Q_n$. Thus by the equation (2.4), each coordinates of $\Psi^n_0 \equiv \Psi^n_{\mathrm{tip}}(w - \tau_n) - \tau_F$ as follows

$$x' = \alpha_{n,\,0}(x + S^n_0(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, t_{n,\,0} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, u_{n,\,0}\, (z + R^n_0(y)) \tag{3.2}$$

$$y' = \sigma_{n,\,0} \cdot y \tag{3.3}$$

$$z' = \sigma_{n,\,0}\, d_{n,\,0} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, (z + R^n_0(y)) \tag{3.4}$$

where $w' = (x',\, y',\, z')$. Firstly, let us show that Q_n is the graph of a well defined function ξ_n from $\pi_{xy}(B(R^nF))$ to $\pi_z(B(R^nF))$, that is, $z = \xi_n(x,\, y)$. By the equations (3.3) and (3.4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,\,0} \cdot z &= z' - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, d_{n,\,0} \cdot y - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, R^n_0(y) \\ &= \xi(x',\, y') - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, d_{n,\,0} \cdot y - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, R^n_0(y) \\ &= \xi\big(\alpha_{n,\,0}(x + S^n_0(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, t_{n,\,0} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, u_{n,\,0}\, (z + R^n_0(y)),\ \sigma_{n,\,0} \cdot y\big) \\ &\qquad - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, d_{n,\,0} \cdot y - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, R^n_0(y). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Define a function as below

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x, y, z) = \xi\big(&\alpha_{n,\,0}(x + S^n_0(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, t_{n,\,0} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,0}\, u_{n,\,0}\, (z + R^n_0(y)),\ \sigma_{n,\,0} \cdot y\big) \\ &- \sigma_{n,\,0}\, d_{n,\,0} \cdot y - \sigma_{n,\,0}\, R^n_0(y) - \sigma_{n,\,0} \cdot z. \end{aligned}$$

Then the partial derivative of G_n over z is as follows

$$\partial_z G_n(x,y,z) = \partial_x\xi \circ \big(\alpha_{n,0}(x + S_0^n(w)) + \sigma_{n,0}\, t_{n,0}\cdot y + \sigma_{n,0}\, u_{n,0}\,(z + R_0^n(y)),\ \sigma_{n,0}\cdot y\big) \cdot \big[\,\alpha_{n,0}\cdot \partial_z S_0^n(w) + \sigma_{n,0}\, u_{n,0}\,\big] - \sigma_{n,0}.$$

Recall that $\alpha_{n,0} = \sigma^{2n}(1+O(\rho^n))$, $\sigma_{n,0} = (-\sigma)^n(1+O(\rho^n))$, $\|\partial_z S_0^n\| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon\big)$ and $|u_{n,0}| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon\big)$. Then

$$\|\partial_z G_n\| \geq \big[\, -\|\partial_x\xi\|\big[\,\sigma^{2n}C_0\,\bar\varepsilon + \sigma^n C_1\,\bar\varepsilon\,\big] + \sigma^n\,\big]\,(1+O(\rho^n))$$

for some positive C_0 and C_1. Since $\|D\xi\| \leq C_0$ for some $C_0 > 0$, $\|\partial_z G_n\|$ is away from zero uniformly for small enough $\bar\varepsilon > 0$. By implicit function theorem, $z = \xi_n(x,y)$ is a C^r function locally on a neighborhood of at every point $(x,y) \in \pi_{xy}(B(R^nF))$. Furthermore, since Q_n is contractible, $\xi_n(x,y)$ is defined globally by C^r continuation of the coordinate charts.

By the equations (3.3) and (3.4) with chain rule, we obtain the following equations

$$\partial_x\xi\cdot\frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} = \sigma_{n,0}\cdot\partial_x\xi_n$$

$$\partial_x\xi\cdot\frac{\partial x'}{\partial y} + \partial_y\xi\cdot\sigma_{n,0} = \sigma_{n,0}\,d_{n,0} + \sigma_{n,0}\cdot\partial_y\xi_n + \sigma_{n,0}\cdot(R_0^n)'(y).$$

Each partial derivatives of ξ_n as follows by the equation (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,0}}\cdot\partial_x\xi\cdot\left[\alpha_{n,0}\big(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w)\big) + \sigma_{n,0}\,u_{n,0}\cdot\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x}\right] \\
\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_{n,0}}\cdot\partial_x\xi\cdot\left[\alpha_{n,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n(w) + \sigma_{n,0}\,t_{n,0} + \sigma_{n,0}\,u_{n,0}\Big(\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial y} + (R_0^n)'(y)\Big)\right] \\
&\quad + \partial_y\xi - d_{n,0} - (R_0^n)'(y)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Recall the facts that $\sigma_{n,0} \asymp (-\sigma)^n$, $\alpha_{n,0} \asymp \sigma^{2n}$ for each $n \in N$ Thus

$$\Big\|\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x}\Big\| \leq \|\partial_x\xi\|\,C_0\sigma^n \leq C\,\sigma^n$$

for some $C > 0$. Recall also that $\|\partial_y S_0^n\| \leq C_3\,\bar\varepsilon$ for some $C_3 > 0$ by Lemma 2.3. Each constants $t_{n,0}$, $u_{n,0}$ and $d_{n,0}$ converge to the numbers $t_{*,0}$, $u_{*,0}$, and $d_{*,0}$ respectively super exponentially fast.

In the above equation (3.6), each partial derivatives $\partial_x\xi$ and $\partial_y\xi$ converges to the origin as $n\to\infty$ because all points in the domain of ξ are in $B_0^n \equiv \Psi_0^n(B(R^nF))$ and $\mathrm{diam}(B_0^n) \leq C\sigma^n$. Thus both derivatives $\partial_x\xi(x,y)$ and $\partial_y\xi(x,y)$ converges to $\partial_x\xi(\tau_F)$ and $\partial_y\xi(\tau_F)$ as $n\to\infty$ respectively. However, the quadratic or higher order terms of $\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial y}$ converges to zero exponentially fast by the equation (2.7), that is, $\|R_k^n\|_{C^1} \leq C\sigma^n$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\xi_n(x,y) = c_0 y(1+O(\sigma^n))$$

where $c_0 = \dfrac{\partial_x\xi(\tau_F)\cdot t_{*,0} + \partial_y\xi(\tau_F) - d_{*,0}}{1-u_{*,0}}$. □

4. Universality of conjugated two dimensional Hénon-like map

Let $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ be a sufficiently small perturbation of the given model map $F_{\rm mod} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Let Q_n and Q_k be invariant surfaces under R^nF and R^kF respectively for $k < n$. Then by Lemma 3.3, Ψ^n_k is the coordinate change map between $R^kF^{2^{n-k}}$ and R^nF from level n to k such that $\Psi^n_k(Q_n) \subset Q_k$. Let us define C^r two dimensional Hénon-like map ${}_{2d}F_{n,\xi}$ on level n as follows

$$ {}_{2d}F_{n,\xi} \equiv \pi^{\xi_n}_{xy} \circ R^nF|_{Q_n} \circ (\pi^{\xi_n}_{xy})^{-1} \tag{4.1}$$

where the map $(\pi^{\xi_n}_{xy})^{-1} : (x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi_n(x, y))$ is a C^r diffeomorphism on the domain of two dimensional map, $\pi_{xy}(B)$. In particular, the map $F_{2d,\,\xi}$ is defined as follows

$$ F_{2d,\,\xi}(x,\, y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, \xi),\ x) \tag{4.2}$$

where graph(ξ) is a C^r invariant surface under the three dimensional map $F\colon (x,\, y,\, z) \mapsto (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ x,\ \delta(x, y, z))$.

4.1. Renormalization of conjugated maps. Let us assume that $2 \le r < \infty$. By Lemma 3.3, the invariant surfaces, Q_n and Q_k are the graphs of C^r maps $\xi_n(x, y)$ and $\xi_k(x, y)$ respectively. The map ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi,\,\rm tip}$ is defined as the map satisfying the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Q_n, \tau_n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^n_{k,\mathbf{v},\rm tip}} & (Q_k, \tau_k) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^{\xi_n}_{xy,\,n}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy,\,k}} \\ ({}_{2d}B_n, \tau_{2d,\,n}) & \xrightarrow{{}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi,\,\rm tip}} & ({}_{2d}B_k, \tau_{2d,\,k}) \end{array}$$

where Q_n and Q_k are invariant C^r surfaces with $2 \le r < \infty$ of R^nF and R^kF respectively and $\pi^{\xi_n}_{xy,\,n}$ and $\pi^{\xi_k}_{xy,\,k}$ are the inverses of graph maps, $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi_n)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi_k)$ respectively.

Using translations $T_k : w \mapsto w - \tau_k$ and $T_n : w \mapsto w - \tau_n$, we can let the tip move to the origin as the fixed point of new coordinate change map, $\Psi^n_k \equiv T_k \circ \Psi^n_{k,\,\rm tip} \circ T_n^{-1}$. Thus due to the above commutative diagram, corresponding tips in ${}_{2d}B_j$ for $j = k, n$ is changed to the origin. Let $\pi_{xy} \circ T_j$ be $T_{2d,\,j}$ for $j = k, n$. This origin is also the fixed point of the map ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi} := T_{2d,\,k} \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi,\,\rm tip} \circ T^{-1}_{2d,\,n}$ where $T_{2d,\,j} = \pi_{xy,\,j} \circ T_j$ with $j = k, n$. By straightforward calculation, we obtain the expression of ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi} &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy,\,k} \circ \Psi^n_k(x, y, \xi_n) \\ &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy,\,k} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, t_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k}\, u_{n,\,k} \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} d_{n,\,k} & \sigma_{n,\,k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S^n_{k,\,\xi} \\ y \\ \xi_n + R^n_k(y) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \big(\alpha_{n,k}(x + S^n_{k,\xi}) + \sigma_{n,k}\, t_{n,k}\; y + \sigma_{n,k}\, u_{n,k}(\xi_n + R^n_k(y)),\; \sigma_{n,k}\, y\big) \tag{4.3}$$

where $S^n_{k,\xi} = S^n_k(x, y, \xi_n(x, y))$. Then

$$\operatorname{Jac}{}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,k}(1 + \partial_x S^n_{k,\xi} + \partial_z S^n_{k,\xi} \cdot \partial_x \xi_n) + \sigma_{n,k}\, u_{n,k}\, \partial_x \xi_n & \bullet \\ 0 & \sigma_{n,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sigma_{n,k} \left(\alpha_{n,k}(1 + \partial_x S^n_{k,\xi} + \partial_z S^n_{k,\xi} \cdot \partial_x \xi_n) + \sigma_{n,k}\, u_{n,k}\, \partial_x \xi_n\right). \tag{4.4}$$

If $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ has invariant surfaces as the graph of C^r maps defined on $I^x \times I^y$ at every level, then ${}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}$ is the conjugation between $({}_{2d}F_{k,\xi})^2$ and ${}_{2d}F_{k+1,\xi}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then two dimensional map $F_{2d,\xi}$ is called *formally* infinitely *renormalizable map* with C^r conjugation. Moreover, the map defined on the equation (4.3) with $n = k+1$, ${}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}$ is the inverse of the horizontal map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (f_k(x) - \varepsilon_k(x, y, \xi_k),\; y) \circ (\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y)$$

by Proposition 4.1 below.

Proposition 4.1. *Let the coordinate change map between $({}_{2d}F_{k,\xi})^2$ and ${}_{2d}F_{k+1,\xi}$ be ${}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}$ which is the conjugation defined on* (4.3)*. Then*

$${}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi} = H^{-1}_{k,\xi} \circ \Lambda^{-1}_k$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ where $H_{k,\xi}(x, y) = (f_k(x) - \varepsilon_k(x, y, \xi_k),\; y)$ and $\Lambda^{-1}_k(x,\; y) = (\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y)$.

Proof. Recall the definitions of the horizontal-like diffeomorphism H_k and its inverse, H^{-1}_k as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(w) &= (f_k(x) - \varepsilon_k(w),\; y,\; z - \delta_k(y, f_k^{-1}(y), 0)) \\ H^{-1}_k(w) &= (\phi_k^{-1}(w),\; y,\; z + \delta_k(y, f_k^{-1}(y), 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $H_k \circ H^{-1}_k = \mathrm{id}$ and $f_k \circ \phi_k^{-1}(w) - \varepsilon_k \circ H^{-1}_k(w) = x$ for all points $w \in \Lambda^{-1}_k(B)$. Then if we choose the set $\sigma_k \cdot \operatorname{graph}(\xi_{k+1}) \subset \Lambda^{-1}_k(B)$, then the similar identical equation holds. By the definition of ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$, the following equation holds

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}(x, y) &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy} \circ \Psi^{k+1}_k \circ (\pi^{\xi_{k+1}}_{xy})^{-1}(x, y) \\ &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy} \circ \Psi^{k+1}_k(x, y, \xi_{k+1}) \\ &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy} \circ H^{-1}_k \circ \Lambda^{-1}_k(x, y, \xi_{k+1}) \\ &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy} \circ H^{-1}_k(\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y,\; \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}) \\ (*) \quad &= \pi^{\xi_k}_{xy} \big(\phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y,\; \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}),\; \sigma_k y,\; \xi_k(\phi_k^{-1}, \sigma_k y)\big) \\ &= (\,\phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y,\; \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}),\; \sigma_k y\,). \end{aligned}$$

In the above equation, $(*)$ is involved with the fact that $H^{-1}_k \circ \Lambda^{-1}_k(\operatorname{graph}(\xi_{k+1})) \subset \operatorname{graph}(\xi_k)$. Let us calculate $H_{k,\xi} \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}(x, y)$. The second coordinate function of it is just $\sigma_k y$. The first coordinate function is as follows

$$f_k \circ \phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y,\; \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}) - \varepsilon_k\big(\phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\; \sigma_k y,\; \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}),\; \sigma_k y,\; \xi_k(\phi_k^{-1}, \sigma_k y)\big)$$

$$= f_k \circ \phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\, \sigma_k y,\, \sigma_k \xi_{k+1}) - \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(\sigma_k x,\, \sigma_k y,\, \sigma_k \xi_{k+1})$$
$$= \sigma_k x.$$

Then $H_{k,\,\xi} \circ {}_{2d}\Psi_{k,\,\xi}^{k+1}(x,y) = (\sigma_k x,\, \sigma_k y)$. However, since $H_{k,\xi} \circ \big(H_{k,\,\xi}^{-1}(x,y) \circ \Lambda_k^{-1}(x,y)\big) = (\sigma_k x,\, \sigma_k y)$, by the uniqueness of inverse map

$$ {}_{2d}\Psi_{k,\,\xi}^{k+1} = H_{k,\,\xi}^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.1 enable us to define the renormalization of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like maps as an extension of the renormalization of analytic two dimensional Hénon-like maps.

Definition 4.1. Let $F : (x,\ y) \mapsto (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y),\ x)$ be a C^r Hénon-like map with $r \geq 2$. If F is renormalizable, then RF, the *renormalization* of F is defined as follows

$$RF = (\Lambda \circ H) \circ F^2 \circ (H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1})$$

where $H(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y),\ y)$ and the linear scaling map $\Lambda(x,y) = (sx, sy)$ for the appropriate number $s < -1$.

If F is renormalizable n times, then the above definition can be applied to $R^k F$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ successively. The two dimensional map ${}_{2d}F_{n,\,\xi}$ with the C^r function ξ_n is the same as $R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}$ by Lemma 4.1 and the above definition. Thus the map ${}_{2d}F_{n,\,\xi}$ is realized to be $R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}$ and called the n^{th} *renormalization* of $F_{2d,\,\xi}$.

4.2. Universality of conjugated two dimensional maps. Recall that $\mathcal{O}_F$ is the same as $\mathcal{O}_{F|_Q}$ which is the critical Cantor set restricted to the invariant surface Q. By the C^r conjugation π_{xy}^{ξ} between $F|_Q$ and $F_{2d,\,\xi}$, the ergodic invariant measure on $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d,\,\xi}}$ is defined as the push forward measure μ on $\mathcal{O}_F$ by the map π_{xy}^{ξ}, that is, $(\pi_{xy}^{\xi})_*(\mu) \equiv \mu_{2d,\,\xi}$. In particular, it is defined as

$$\mu_{2d,\,\xi}\big(\pi_{xy}^{\xi}(\mathcal{O}_F \cap B_{\mathbf{w}}^n)\big) = \mu_{2d,\,\xi}\big(\pi_{xy}^{\xi}(\mathcal{O}_F) \cap \pi_{xy}^{\xi}(B_{\mathbf{w}}^n)\big) = \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{F|_Q}$ is independent of any particular surface, so is $\pi_{xy}^{\xi}(\mathcal{O}_F)$. Then we express this measure to be μ_{2d} because the measure, $\mu_{2d,\,\xi}$ is also independent of ξ. Let us define the *average Jacobian* of $F_{2d,\,\xi}$

$$b_{2d} = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}} \log \operatorname{Jac} F_{2d,\,\xi}\, d\mu_{2d}.$$

This average Jacobian is independent of the surface map ξ because every invariant surfaces contains the same critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let F be in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ which is a sufficiently small perturbation of toy model map with $b_1 \gg b_2$. Suppose that invariant C^r surfaces Q_n with $2 \leq r < \infty$ under $R^n F$ contains $\overline{\mathrm{Per}}_{R^n F}$. Suppose also that $Q_n = graph(\xi_n)$ where ξ_n is C^r map from $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z. Let $R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}$ be $\pi_{xy}^{\xi_n} \circ F_n|_{Q_n} \circ (\pi_{xy}^{\xi_n})^{-1}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^n F_{2d,\,\xi} = b_{1,\,2d}^{2^n}\, a(x)(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where $b_{1,\,2d}$ is the average Jacobian of $F_{2d,\,\xi}$ and $a(x)$ is the universal function of x for some positive $\rho < 1$.

Proof. Lemma 2.1 could be applied for C^r Hénon-like map for $r \geq 2$. Thus we obtain

$$\operatorname{Jac} F_{2d,\,\xi}^{2^n} = b_{1,\,2d}^{2^n}(1 + O(\rho^n)).$$

Moreover, the chain rule implies that

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^n F_{2d,\,\xi} = b_{1,\,2d}^{2^n}\ \frac{\operatorname{Jac}_{\,2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi,\,\mathrm{tip}}^n(x,y)}{\operatorname{Jac}_{\,2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi,\,\mathrm{tip}}^n(R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}(x,y))}(1 + O(\rho^n)).$$

After letting the tip on every level move to the origin by appropriate linear map, the equation (4.4) implies that

$$\operatorname{Jac}_{\,2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi}^n = \sigma_{n,\,0}\Big(\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot\partial_x\big(x + S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n)\big) + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,u_{n,\,0}\cdot\partial_x\xi_n\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

Then in order to have the universal expression of Jacobian determinant, we need the asymptotic of following maps

$$\partial_x\big(x + S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,\partial_x\xi_n$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$x + S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n) = v_*(x) + a_{F,\,1}\,y^2 + a_{F,\,2}\,y\cdot\xi_n + a_{F,\,3}\,(\xi_n)^2 + O(\rho^n).$$

The above asymptotic has C^1 convergence with the variable, x. Then

$$\partial_x\big(x + S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n)\big) = v_*'(x) + a_{F,\,2}\,y\cdot\partial_x\xi_n + 2\,a_{F,\,3}\cdot\xi_n\cdot\partial_x\xi_n + O(\rho^n).$$

where $v_*(x)$ is the universal function for some $\rho \in (0,1)$. By Proposition 3.3, we see $\|\partial_x\xi_n\| \leq C\sigma^n$. Then

$$\partial_x\big(x + S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n)\big) = v_*'(x) + O(\rho^n). \tag{4.6}$$

By the equation (3.6) in Proposition 3.3,

$$\frac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x} = \partial_x\xi(\bar{x},\bar{y})\cdot\left[1 + \partial_x S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n) + \frac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,u_{n,\,0}\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x}\right]$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\frac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial_x\xi(\bar{x},\bar{y})}{1 - u_{n,\,0}\,\partial_x\xi(\bar{x},\bar{y})}\cdot\big[1 + \partial_x S_0^n(x,y,\,\xi_n)\big]$$

where $(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \in \Psi_{0,\mathbf{v}}^n(B(R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}))$ for all big enough n. Thus $(\bar{x},\bar{y})$ converges to the origin as $n \to \infty$ exponentially fast by the equation (2.1).

$$\operatorname{diam}({}_{2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi}^n(B)) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\Psi_0^n(B)) \leq C\sigma^n$$

for some $C > 0$. Recall that the map, $\partial_x\xi(\bar{x},\bar{y})$ converges to $\partial_x\xi(0,0)$ exponentially fast and $u_{n,\,0}$ converges to $u_{*,\,0}$ super exponentially fast. Then

$$\frac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,\frac{\partial\xi_n}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial_x\xi(0,0)}{1 - u_{*,\,0}\,\partial_x\xi(0,0)}\ v_*'(x) + O(\rho^n). \tag{4.7}$$

Let $(x',y') = R^n F_{2d,\,\xi}(x,y)$. Then

$$\frac{\operatorname{Jac}_{\,2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi}^n(x,y)}{\operatorname{Jac}_{\,2d}\Psi_{0,\,\xi}^n(x',y')} = \frac{1 + \partial_x(S_{0,\,\xi}^n(x,y)) + \dfrac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,u_{n,\,0}\,\partial_x\xi_n(x,y)}{1 + \partial_x(S_{0,\,\xi}^n(x',y')) + \dfrac{\sigma_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}}\,u_{n,\,0}\,\partial_x\xi_n(x',y')} \tag{4.8}$$

where $S_0^n(x, y, \xi_n) = S_{0,\xi}^n(x, y)$. The translation does not affect Jacobian determinant and each translation from tip to the origin converges to the map $w \mapsto \tau_\infty$ exponentially fast where τ_∞ is the tip of two dimensional degenerate map, $F_*(x, y) = (f_*(x), x)$. Then by the similar calculation used in Universality Theorem in [dCLM], the equation (4.8) converges to the following universal function exponentially fast.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Jac}\,{}_{2d}\Psi_{0,\xi,\mathrm{tip}}^n(x,y)}{\mathrm{Jac}\,{}_{2d}\Psi_{0,\xi,\mathrm{tip}}^n(x',y')} &= \frac{v_*'(x-\pi_x(\tau_\infty)) + \dfrac{u_{*,0}\,\partial_x\xi(\pi_{xy}(\tau_F))}{1-u_{*,0}\,\partial_x\xi(\pi_{xy}(\tau_F))}\, v_*'(x-\pi_x(\tau_\infty))}{v_*'(f_*(x)-\pi_y(\tau_\infty)) + \dfrac{u_{*,0}\,\partial_x\xi(\pi_{xy}(\tau_F))}{1-u_{*,0}\,\partial_x\xi(\pi_{xy}(\tau_F))}\, v_*'(f_*(x)-\pi_y(\tau_\infty))} \\ &= \frac{v_*'(x-\pi_x(\tau_\infty))}{v_*'(f_*(x)-\pi_y(\tau_\infty))} \\ &\equiv a(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.3 (Universality of C^r Hénon-like maps with C^r conjugation for $2 \le r < \infty$)**.** *Let Hénon-like map $F_{2d,\xi}$ be the C^r map defined on* (4.2) *for $2 \le r < \infty$. Suppose that $F_{2d,\xi}$ is infinitely renormalizable. Then*

$$R^n F_{2d,\xi}(x,y) = (f_n(x) - (b_{2d})^{2^n}\, a(x)\, y\,(1+O(\rho^n)),\ x) \tag{4.9}$$

where b_{2d} is the average Jacobian of $F_{2d,\xi}$ and $a(x)$ is the universal function for some $0 < \rho < 1$.

Proof. By the smooth conjugation of two dimensional map and $F_n|_{Q_n}$, we see that

$$R^n F_{2d,\xi}(x,y) = (f_n(x) - \varepsilon_n(x,y,\xi_n),\ x)$$

Denote $\varepsilon_n(x, y, \xi_n)$ by $\varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(x, y)$. Then the Jacobian of $R^n F_{2d,\xi}$ is $\partial_y \varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(x, y)$. By Lemma 4.2, $\partial_y \varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(x, y) = (b_{2d})^{2^n}\, a(x)(1 + O(\rho^n))$. Then

$$\varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(x,y) = (b_{2d})^{2^n}\, a(x)\, y\,(1+O(\rho^n)) + U_n(x).$$

The map $U_n(x)$ which depends only on the variable x can be incorporated to $f_n(x)$. □

Recall that the conjugation between $R^n F_{2d,\xi,\mathrm{tip}}$ and $\left(R^k F_{2d,\xi}\right)^{2^{n-k}}$ is ${}_{2d}\Psi_{k,\xi}^n$. Recall also that $\sigma_{n,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ and $\alpha_{n,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k))$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $R^k F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$ be the map which has invariant surfaces $Q_k \equiv \mathrm{graph}(\xi_k)$ tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set. Then the coordinate change map, ${}_{2d}\Psi_{k,\xi}^n$ is expressed as follows*

$${}_{2d}\Psi_{k,\xi}^n(x,y) = \left(\alpha_{n,k}\,(x + {}_{2d}S_k^n(x,y)) + \sigma_{n,k}\cdot {}_{2d}t_{n,k}\cdot y,\ \sigma_{n,k}\, y\right) \tag{4.10}$$

where $x + {}_{2d}S_k^n(x, y)$ has the asymptotic

$$x + {}_{2d}S_k^n(x,y) = v_*(x) + a_{F,k}\, y^2 + O(\rho^{n-k})$$

for $|a_{F,k}| = O(\varepsilon^{2^k})$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the coordinate change map, ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$ is the composition of the inverse of horizontal diffeomorphisms with linear scaling maps as follows

$$H^{-1}_{k,\xi} \circ \Lambda^{-1}_k \circ H^{-1}_{k+1,\xi} \circ \Lambda^{-1}_{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ H^{-1}_{n,\xi} \circ \Lambda^{-1}_n.$$

Then after reshuffling non-linear and linear parts separately by direct calculations and letting the tip move to the origin by appropriate translations on each levels, the coordinate change map is of the form in (4.10). In order to estimate ${}_{2d}S^n_k(x,y)$, the recursive formulas of the first and the second partial derivatives of ${}_{2d}S^n_k(x,y)$ are required. However, the calculation in Section 7.2 in [dCLM] can be used because analyticity does not affect any recursive formulas of derivatives and furthermore it just requires C^r map for $r \geq 2$. Hence, recursive formulas with same estimations are applied to ${}_{2d}S^n_k(x,y)$. Thus we have the following estimation

$$x + {}_{2d}S^n_k(x,y) = v_*(x) + a_{F,k}\, y^2 + O(\rho^{n-k})$$

where $|a_{F,k}| = O(\varepsilon^{2^k})$. Alternatively, let us choose the equation (4.3)

$${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}(x,y) = \big(\alpha_{n,k}(x + S^n_{k,\xi}(x,y)) + \sigma_{n,k}\, t_{n,k}\, y + \sigma_{n,k}\, u_{n,k}(\xi_n + R^n_k(y)),\ \sigma_{n,k}\, y\big)$$

where $S^n_{k,\xi}(x,y) = S^n_k(x,y,\xi_n(x,y))$. By Proposition 3.3, the map

$$\xi_n(x,y) = c_0 y + \eta(y) + O(\rho^n)$$

where the map $\eta(y)$ is quadratic or higher order terms with $\|\eta\|_{C^1} \leq C_0\sigma^{n-k}$ for some $C_0 > 0$. By equations (2.6) and (2.7), $|u_{n,k}| \leq C_1\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}$ and $\|R^n_k\|_{C^1} \leq C_2\sigma^{n-k}$ for some positive C_1 and C_2. Recall that the constants, $\alpha_{n,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^n))$ and $\sigma_{n,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^n))$. Hence, we appropriately define each terms of ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{2d}S^n_k(x,y) &= S^n_{k,\xi}(x,y) + \frac{\sigma_{n,k}}{\alpha_{n,k}}\, u_{n,k}[\,\xi_n(x,y) - c_0 y + R^n_k(y)] \\ {}_{2d}t_{n,k} &= t_{n,k} + u_{n,k}c_0 \end{aligned}$$

which are as desired. □

Let ${}_{2d}t_{k+1,k}$ be ${}_{2d}t_k$ for simplicity. Similarly, denote $\alpha_{k+1,k}$ and $\sigma_{k+1,k}$ to be α_k and σ_k respectively. The following corollary and the proof is the same as those of analytic maps in [dCLM]. For the sake of completeness, the proof is written below.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $F_{2d,\xi}$ be the infinitely renormalizable C^r Hénon-like map with single invariant surfaces tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set. Let ${}_{2d}S^n_k$ be the coordinate change map between $R^kF_{2d,\xi}$ and $R^nF_{2d,\xi}$ defined in Theorem 4.4. Then*

$$t_k \asymp -(b_{2d})^{2^k}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Compare the derivative of $\Lambda_k \circ H_{k,\xi}$ at the tip and the derivative of $\left({}_{2d}\Psi^{k+1}_{k,\xi}\right)^{-1}$ at the origin as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -{}_{2d}t_k \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & \\ & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & -s_k \cdot \partial_y \varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(\tau_k) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus ${}_{2d}t_k = \alpha_k \cdot s_k \cdot \partial_y \varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(\tau_k)$ where $s_k \asymp -1$. Since by Lemma 4.2,

$$-\partial_y \varepsilon_{n,\xi_n}(\tau_k) \asymp -\operatorname{Jac} R^nF_{2d,\xi} \asymp -(b_{2d})^{2^k}.$$

Then ${}_{2d}t_k \asymp -(b_{2d})^{2^k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

4.3. Non existence of continuous invariant line field on Q_n.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $F_{2d,\xi}$ be a C^r infinitely renormalizable two dimensional Hénon-like map for $2 \leq r < \infty$. Then $F_{2d,\xi}$ has no continuous invariant line field over the critical Cantor set. Especially, every invariant line fields are discontinuous at the tip.*

Proof. Universality Theorem 4.3 and the estimation of scaling map, Ψ_k^n in Theorem 4.4 imply the universal expression of Hénon-like maps and of horizontal map similar to those of analytic ones. Then the proof discontinuity of invariant line field is essentially the same as the proof of Theorem 9.7 in [dCLM]. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ be a sufficiently small perturbation of toy model map with $b_2 \ll b_1$. Let Q be an invariant surface under F which is tangent to the continuous invariant field, say E, over $\mathcal{O}_F$. Then any invariant line field in E over $\mathcal{O}_F$ is discontinuous at the tip.*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 7.8 in [Nam1] with the above Lemma 4.6. □

The geometric properties of critical Cantor set — non existence of continuous invariant line field and unbounded geometry of critical Cantor set — are showed in the invariant surface. These negative results on the invariant surfaces are also valid on three dimensional analytic Hénon-like maps in no time.

5. Density of conjugated maps in C^r Hénon-like maps

The renormalization for analytic Hénon-like map is extended to C^r Hénon-like maps by invariant C^r single surfaces of analytic three dimensional map. We would show that the set of C^r Hénon-like maps from invariant surfaces is open and dense in C^r infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps in the parameter space of average Jacobian for any given $2 \leq r < \infty$ (Theorem 5.5).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $F_{\mathrm{mod}} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ be the infinitely renormalizable toy model three dimensional Hénon-like map. Assume that $b_2 \ll b_1$ and there exist invariant C^r single surfaces which are tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ and these surfaces is the graph of C^r map from $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z. Let a sufficiently small perturbation of F_{mod} with parameter t as follows*

$$F_t(x, y, z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y) + tz,\ x,\ \delta(x, y, z)) \tag{5.1}$$

for small enough $|t|$. Then F_t has also invariant C^r single surfaces tangent to E^{pu} over its critical Cantor set.

Proof. The existence of invariant cone fields of DF_{mod} and a small perturbation of DF_{mod} by Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 in [Nam1]. Existence of single invariant surfaces for F_{mod} is due to Section 3. □

Denote an invariant single surface of F_t by $graph(\xi_t)$ where ξ is the C^r map from $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z. Thus the C^r Hénon-like map from invariant surface, $\pi_{xy} \circ F_t \,|_{graph(\xi_t)} \equiv F_{2d,t}$ is defines as follows

$$F_{2d,t}(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x,y) + t\xi_t(x,y),\ x). \tag{5.2}$$

Let F_{2d} be a C^r Hénon-like map. The unimodal part f of the following map

$$F_{2d}(x,y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon^{(r)}(x,y),\ x)$$

can be approximated arbitrary closely by analytic maps in C^r topology. Then we may assume that f is analytic and $\varepsilon^{(r)}(x,y)$ is C^r. Moreover, two variable C^r map can be also approximated by analytic maps, for instance, multivariate Bernstein polynomials in C^r topology. See [**?**]. Any analytic Hénon-like maps in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ can be approximated by maps in (5.2).

Lemma 5.2. *The set of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map in* (5.2) *is a dense subset of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for $2 \le r < \infty$.*

Lemma 5.3. *The critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}$ of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map moves continuously as F_{2d} in infinitely Hénon-like maps.*

Proof. By construction of the critical Cantor set, for a given word $\mathbf{w}_n \in W^n$, the unique periodic point w_n with period 2^n of the region $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ is C^r by Implicit Function Theorem. Each point $w \in \mathcal{O}_F$ is the limit of w_n as $n \to \infty$ for the given word $\mathbf{w} \in W^\infty$ which contains $\mathbf{w}_n$ as a finite subaddress of $\mathbf{w}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since two dimensional box, $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}(F_{2d})$ is $\pi_{xy}\big(B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}(F)\big)$ of three dimensional map F, the uniform convergence of three dimensional boxes as $n \to \infty$ implies that of two dimensional ones. Then the critical Cantor set moves continuously as F_{2d}. □

Recall the maps in (5.1) and (5.2) for $|t| < r$ where r is sufficiently small such that

(1) For every $|t| < r$, there exist single invariant surfaces tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set as the graph from $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z.
(2) $\operatorname{Jac} F_{2d,\,t}$ is positive on $(-r, r) \times B$.

Corollary 5.4. *The average Jacobian $b_{2d,t} \equiv b(F_{2d,t})$ for $|t| < r$ moves continuously on t for sufficiently small $r > 0$.*

Proof. The average Jacobian of $F_{2d,t}$ is defined explicitly as follows

$$b_{2d,t} = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \log(\operatorname{Jac} F_{2d,t})\, d\mu_t = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \log\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} + t\frac{\partial \xi_t}{\partial y}\right) d\mu_t$$

where μ_t is the unique $F_{2d,t}$-invariant probability measure on each critical Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_t \equiv \mathcal{O}_{F_{2d,t}}$. By Lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{O}_t$ moves continuously. Then the integral is also continuous with t. □

Remark 5.1. If the Hénon-like map F_t in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ is analytic and it is extendible holomorphically, then the critical Cantor set moves holomorphically with t by Lemma 5.6 in [dCLM].

Define that a C^r Hénon-like map, F_{2d} is *embedded* in analytic *three dimensional Hénon-like map* in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ only if F_{2d} is conjugated by a C^r map to $F|_Q$ where Q is a C^r invariant surface tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $F_{2d,b}$ be an element of parametrized C^r Hénon-like maps for $b \in [0,1)$ in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ where b is the average Jacobian of $F_{2d,b}$ for $2 \le r < \infty$. Then for some $\bar{b} > 0$, the set of parameter values, an interval $[0, \bar{b}]$ on which the map $F_{2d,b}$ is embedded in three dimensional analytic Hénon-like maps in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ contains a dense open subset.*

Proof. The density of the set of conjugated map from invariant surfaces is due to Lemma 5.2. The openness is involves with Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.4. □

Notes. The definition of renormalizability of C^r Hénon-like map is just extension of that of analytic Hénon-like maps. However, hyperbolicity of renormalization operator for C^r Hénon-like maps at the fixed point is not proved yet. In previous sections, using single invariant surfaces in three dimensional analytic Hénon-like maps, we construct C^r conjugation between maps in single invariant surfaces and two dimensional maps. It defines infinite renormalization of C^r Hénon-like maps in this class. Moreover, direct calculations of asymptotics in [dCLM] to this article, the smoothness of invariant surfaces seems to be sufficient for $r = 2$. However, the hyperbolicity of period doubling operator of one dimensional maps requires $C^{2+\epsilon}$ maps with arbitrary small but positive number ϵ in [Dav] and moreover, Hénon renormalization contains that of one dimensional maps as degenerate maps. On the other hand, since invariant surfaces are constructed by invariant cone fields, these surfaces cannot be C^∞ or analytic. Existence of any single invariant C^∞ or non-flat analytic surfaces tangent to E^{pu} over the critical Cantor set is not known yet.

6. Unbounded geometry on the Cantor set

Let the subset of critical Cantor set on each pieces be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}} \equiv B^n_{\mathbf{w}} \cap \mathcal{O}$ where $\mathbf{w} \in W^n = \{v,\, c\}^n$ is the word of length n. We may assume that every box region is (path) connected and simply connected. Suppose that each topological region, $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ compactly contains $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}$ and moreover $\overline{B^n_{\mathbf{w}}}$ is disjoint from $\overline{\mathcal{O} \setminus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{w}}}$ for every word $\mathbf{w}$. Assume also that every $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ is forward invariant under F^{2^n} for all word $\mathbf{w}$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Bounded geometry* is defined for given box regions which satisfy the following

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\asymp \operatorname{diam}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}\nu}) \quad \text{for } \nu \in \{v, c\} \\ \operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) &\asymp \operatorname{diam}(B^{n+1}_{\mathbf{w}\nu}) \quad \text{for } \nu \in \{v, c\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ and for all $n \ge 0$. The proof of unbounded geometry of critical Cantor set requires to compare the diameter of boxes and the minimal distance of two adjacent boxes. In order to compare these quantities, we would use the maps, Ψ^n_k, $R^k F$ and Ψ^k_0 with the two points $w_1 = (x_1,\, y_1,\, z_1)$ and $w_2 = (x_2,\, y_2,\, z_2)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{R^n F}$. Let us each successive image of w_j under Ψ^n_k, $R^k F$ and Ψ^k_0 be $\dot{w}_j$, $\ddot{w}_j$ and $\dddot{w}_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

$$w_j \overset{\Psi^n_k}{\longmapsto} \dot{w}_j \overset{R^k F}{\longmapsto} \ddot{w}_j \overset{\Psi^k_0}{\longmapsto} \dddot{w}_j$$

Let the coordinates of the point, $\dot{w}_j$ be $(\dot{x}_j,\, \dot{y}_j,\, \dot{z}_j)$. The points $\ddot{w}_j$ and $\dddot{w}_j$ also have the similar coordinate expressions. Let S_1 and S_2 be the (path) connected set on $\mathbb{R}^3$. If $\pi_x(\overline{S}_1) \cap \pi_x(\overline{S}_2)$ contains at least two points, then this intersection is called the $x-$*axis overlap* or *horizontal*

overlap of S_1 and S_2. Moreover, we say S_1 *overlaps* S_2 on the $x-$axis or horizontally.

Let F_{2d} be an infinitely renormalizable two dimensional Hénon-like map and b_1 be the average Jacobian of F_{2d}. Then unbounded geometry of the critical Cantor set depends on Universality theorem and the asymptotic of the tilt, $-t_k \asymp b_1^{2^k}$ but it does not depend on the analyticity of the map. The infinitely renormalizable C^r Hénon-like maps defined by invariant surfaces has Universality by Theorem 4.3 and the asymptotic of the tilt $-{}_{2d}t_k \asymp b_1^{2^k}$ by Corollary 4.5. Then unbounded geometry of the critical Cantor set in [dCLM] and [HLM] is applicable to C^r Hénon-like map defined by invariant surfaces.

Observe that $\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(S_1, S_2) \le \operatorname{dist}(w_1, w_2)$ for all $w_1 \in S_1$ and $w_2 \in S_2$ and $\operatorname{diam}(S) \ge \operatorname{dist}(w, w')$ for all $w, w' \in S$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let F_{2d} be an infinitely renormalizable C^r Hénon-like maps defined by invariant surfaces which is tangent to E^{pu} over $\mathcal{O}_F$. Suppose that two dimensional box ${}_{2d}B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}v}(R^kF_{2d})$ overlaps ${}_{2d}B^{n-k}_{\mathbf{v}c}(R^kF_{2d})$ on the $x-$axis where $\mathbf{v} = v^{n-k-1}$. Then for all sufficiently large k and n with $k < n$, we have the following estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{dist}_{\min}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\, {}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\le C_0\, b_1^{2^k}\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\\ \operatorname{diam}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) &\ge C_1\sigma^{2(n-k)}\sigma^k\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = v^kcv^{n-k-1} \in W^n$ for some positive constants C_0 and C_1.

Proof. The proof is the same as the analytic case because unbounded geometry depends only on the universality theorem and asymptotic of the tilt $-{}_{2d}t_k \asymp b_1^{2^k}$. Then we can adapt the proof for analytic maps in [HLM]. For the sake of completeness, we describe the proof below. Choose two points $w_1 = (x_1,\, y_1)$ and $w_2 = (x_2,\, y_2)$ in ${}_{2d}B^1_v(R^nF_{2d}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF_{2d}}$ and ${}_{2d}B^1_c(R^nF_{2d}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^nF_{2d}}$ respectively in order to estimate the minimal distance between two boxes.

The expression of ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$ in Theorem 4.4 and overlapping assumption implies the coordinates of the points, $(\dot{x}_j,\, \dot{y}_j)$, $(\ddot{x}_j,\, \ddot{y}_j)$ and $(\dddot{x}_j,\, \dddot{y}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ as follows

$$\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2 = \sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2)$$

The special form of Hénon-like map, R^kF_{2d} and coordinate change map, ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\xi}$ imply that

$$\dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2 = \sigma_{k,\,0}(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2) = \sigma_{k,\,0}(\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2) = 0 \tag{6.1}$$

By mean value theorem and the fact that $(\ddot{x}_j,\, \ddot{y}_j) = R^kF_{2d}(\dot{x}_j,\, \dot{y}_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2 &= f_k(\dot{x}_1) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{x}_1,\, \dot{y}_1) - \big[f_k(\dot{x}_2) - \varepsilon_k(\dot{x}_2,\, \dot{y}_2)\big]\\ &= -\varepsilon_k(\dot{x}_1,\, \dot{y}_1) + \varepsilon_k(\dot{x}_2,\, \dot{y}_2)\\ &= -\partial_y\varepsilon_k(\eta)\cdot(\dot{y}_1 - \dot{y}_2)\\ &= -\partial_y\varepsilon_k(\eta)\cdot\sigma_{n,\,k}(y_1 - y_2)\end{aligned}$$

where η is some point in the line segment between $(\dot{x}_1,\, \dot{y}_1)$ and $(\dot{x}_2,\, \dot{y}_2)$. Thus by Theorem 4.4 and the equation (6.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2 &= \pi_x \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^k_{0,\,\xi}(\ddot{x}_1,\, \ddot{y}_1) - \pi_x \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^k_{0,\,\xi}(\ddot{x}_2,\, \ddot{y}_2)\\ &= \alpha_{k,\,0}\big[(\ddot{x}_1 + {}_{2d}S^k_0(\ddot{x}_1,\, \ddot{y}_1)) - (\ddot{x}_2 + {}_{2d}S^k_0(\ddot{x}_2,\, \ddot{y}_2))\big] + \sigma_{k,\,0}\big[{}_{2d}t_{k,\,0}\cdot(\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2)\big]\end{aligned}$$

$$= \alpha_{k,\,0}\big[v'_*(\bar{x}) + O(\bar{\varepsilon} + \rho^k)\big](\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2). \tag{6.2}$$

Then by the fact that $\partial_y \varepsilon_k \asymp b_1^{2^k}$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of F_{2d}, we can estimate the minimal distance

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dist}_{\min}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\, {}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\le \big|\dddot{x}_1 - \dddot{x}_2\big| + \big|\dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2\big| \\
&\le \sigma^{2k}\big|\ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2\big| \cdot v'_*(\bar{x})(1 + O(\rho^k)) \\
&\le C_0\, b_1^{2^k}\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_*(x)$ is the positive universal function for some $C_0 > 0$. Take any two different points, $(x_1,\, y_1)$ and $(x_2,\, y_2)$ in the box ${}_{2d}B^1_v(R^n F_{2d}) \cap \mathcal{O}_{R^n F_{2d}}$ to estimate the diameter of ${}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}$. Thus the special forms of $R^k F_{2d}$, ${}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\,\xi}$ and the equation (6.2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{diam}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) &\ge \big|\dddot{y}_1 - \dddot{y}_2\big| = \sigma_{k,\,0} \cdot (\ddot{y}_1 - \ddot{y}_2) \\
&= \big|\sigma_{k,\,0} \cdot (\dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2)\big| \\
&= \big|\sigma_{k,\,0}\big[\pi_x \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\,\xi}(\dot{x}_1,\, \dot{y}_1) - \pi_x \circ {}_{2d}\Psi^n_{k,\,\xi}(\dot{x}_2,\, \dot{y}_2)\big]\big| \\
&= \big|\sigma_{k,\,0}\, \alpha_{n,\,k}\big[v'_*(\widetilde{x})(x_1 - x_2) + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} + \rho^{n-k})\big]\big| \\
&\ge C_1\, \sigma^{2(n-k)}\sigma^k
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_*(x)$ is the positive universal function for some $C_1 > 0$. □

Unbounded geometry on the critical Cantor set holds if we choose $n > k$ such that $b_1^{2^k} \asymp \sigma^{n-k}$ for every sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This is true on the parameter space of average Jacobian, b_1 almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 6.2 ([HLM])**.** *The given any $0 < A_0 < A_1$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and any $p \ge 2$, the set of parameters $b \in [0, 1]$ for which there are infinitely many $0 < k < n$ satisfying*

$$A_0 < \frac{b^{p^k}}{\sigma^{n-k}} < A_1$$

is a dense G_δ set with full Lebesgue measure.

Recall that toy model map has universal numbers — the average Jacobian, b_{mod}, the average Jacobian of two dimensional map, $\pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}$, $b_{1,\mathrm{mod}}$ and the ratio of these two numbers, $b_{2,\mathrm{mod}} \equiv b_{\mathrm{mod}}/b_{1,\mathrm{mod}}$. If $b_{2,\mathrm{mod}} \ll b_{1,\mathrm{mod}}$, then each of these numbers can be generalized to a sufficiently small perturbation of toy model map. In particular, the number $b_{1,\mathrm{mod}}$ is generalized to the average Jacobian of $F_{2d,\,\xi}$, say b_1, by Theorem 4.3. Another number b_2 is just defined as the ratio, b_F/b_1. Then unbounded geometry of Cantor attractor of $F|_Q$ on invariant surface is extended to those of same Cantor set for three dimensional map, F.

Theorem 6.3. *Let F be three dimensional Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ which is a small perturbation of toy model map with $b_2 \ll b_1$. Then for each sufficiently small fixed positive number b_2, the parametrized Hénon-like map F_{b_1} for $b_1 \in [\,b_\circ, b_\bullet]$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of two dimensional C^r Hénon-like map, $F_{2d,\,\xi}$ for $b_2 \ll b_\circ < b_\bullet$. Then there exists G_δ subset S with full Lebesgue measure of $[\,b_\circ, b_\bullet]$ such that the critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{b_1}}$ has unbounded geometry.*

Proof. The box on the invariant surface Q, ${}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ is defined as the image of the box, ${}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ of two dimensional Hénon-like map under the graph map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every word $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$. By Proposition 3.3, the minimal distance between two boxes on the surface and that between two boxes on $xy-$plane with the same word are comparable with each other for all words. Furthermore, there exist three dimensional boxes, $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that $Q \cap B^n_{\mathbf{w}} \supset {}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ for every word $\mathbf{w}$ because Q is an invariant surface which compactly contains the critical Cantor set. Then by Lemma 6.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{dist}_{\min}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\ {}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\asymp \operatorname{dist}_{\min}({}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\ {}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}c})\\ \operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\ B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) \le \operatorname{dist}_{\min}({}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}v},\ {}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) &\le C_0\, b_1^{2^k}\sigma^{2k}\sigma^{n-k}\end{aligned}$$

for the word $\mathbf{w} = v^{n-k-1}cv^k$ and moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{diam}({}_{2d}B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) &\asymp \operatorname{diam}({}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}v})\\ \operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) \ge \operatorname{diam}({}_QB^n_{\mathbf{w}v}) &\ge C_1\sigma^{2(n-k)}\sigma^k\end{aligned}$$

for the word $\mathbf{w} = v^{n-k-1}cv^k$ and for positive constants C_0 and C_1 independent of $\mathbf{w}$ and n. One box overlaps its adjacent box on the $x-$axis in three dimension if and only if so does in two dimension because there exists an invariant surface as the graph from the plane to $z-$axis. Then

$$b_1^{2^k} \asymp \sigma^{n-k}$$

for all sufficiently large k in the G_δ subset which has full measure in the parameter space $[\,b_\circ, b_\bullet]$ by Theorem 6.2. Hence, $\operatorname{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}c}) \le C\sigma^k \operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}v})$ for some $C > 0$. Therefore, the critical Cantor set has unbounded geometry. □

Appendix A

Periodic points and critical Cantor set

Let us take a word, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1\, w_2\, w_3 \ldots w_n \ldots)$ as an address. The word of the first n concatenations, $\mathbf{w}_n = (w_1\, w_2\, w_3 \ldots w_n)$ is defined as the *subaddress* of the word $\mathbf{w}$.

Lemma A.1. *Let F be the Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar\varepsilon)$ with sufficiently small positive $\bar\varepsilon$. Then the set of accumulation points of* Per_F *is the critical Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_F$.*

Proof. The region $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n} \equiv \Psi^n_{0,\mathbf{w}_n}(B(R^nF))$ contains the periodic point, $\Psi^n_{0,\mathbf{w}_n}(\beta_1(R^nF))$ with period 2^n. By construction of the critical Cantor set, every point $\mathcal{O}_F$, say w is as follows

$$\{w\} = \bigcap_{n\ge 0} B^n_{\mathbf{w_n}}$$

for the corresponding words, $\mathbf{w}_n$ are the subaddresses of $\mathbf{w} \in W^\infty \equiv \{v, c\}^\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}) \le C\sigma^n$ for all word $\mathbf{w}_n$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every points in $\mathcal{O}_F$ is contained in the set of accumulation points of Per_F. For the reverse inclusion, recall the following facts

— For any Hénon-like map $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar\varepsilon)$, the region $B^1_v \cup B^1_c$ contains all periodic points of F.

— The number of periodic points with any given single period, 2^n is always finite.

— The region $B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}$ compactly contains $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ where $n > N$ and the word $\mathbf{w}_N$ is a subaddress of the word $\mathbf{w}_n$.

Take any point, say w, in the set of accumulation point of Per_F. We may assume that there exists a sequence of periodic points, $\{q_{n_k}\}$ which converge to w as $k \to \infty$ where the period of each q_{n_k} is 2^{n_k} and n_k is increasing and $n_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Observe that the periodic point q_{n_k} is $\Psi^{n_k}_{0,\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}(\beta_1(R^{n_k}F))$ for some address $\mathbf{w}_{n_k}$. We claim that there exists a periodic point, q_{n_k} of which region $B^{n_k}_{\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}$ contains w. If not, then $\mathrm{Orb}_F\left(\overline{B^{n_k}_{\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}}\right)$ is disjoint from w. However, every periodic points of which period is greater than q_{n_k} are in $\mathrm{Orb}_F\left(\overline{B^{n_k}_{\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}}\right)$. It contradicts the convergence of periodic points to w. Then we may assume that the region $B^{n_k}_{\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}$ contains w and the sequence $Q \equiv \{q_{n_m} \mid m > k\}$. Denote the region $B^{n_k}_{\mathbf{w}_{n_k}}$ by B_k for each k. Since every points $q_{n_m} \in Q$ are a periodic points under $R^{n_k}F$ in $B(R^{n_k})$, each region, B_m for $m > k$ is compactly contained in B_k and moreover, B_m converges to w as $m \to \infty$. Each region B_m has its own address and the address converges to a word $\mathbf{w} \in W^\infty$ as $m \to \infty$. This construction implies that the sequence of B_m converges to a point with the address $\mathbf{w}$ in the critical Cantor set. Hence, the accumulation point, w is contained in $\mathcal{O}_F$. □

Lemma A.2. *Let F be the three dimensional Hénon-like map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for small enough $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. Then $W^s(w) \cap \overline{\mathrm{Per}}_F = \{w\}$ for each $w \in \overline{\mathrm{Per}}_F$.*

Proof. The fact that $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ implies the existence of the critical Cantor set. Note that any given periodic points of F has period, 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For any two periodic points, p and q, we may assume that these points are fixed points under F^{2^k} for large enough $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If both p and q are in any same stable manifold, then $\mathrm{dist}(F^n(p), F^n(q)) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. However, $\mathrm{dist}(F^{2^{km}}(p), F^{2^{km}}(q))$ is fixed for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus p is the same as q.

Any point w in the critical Cantor set has its address of which length is infinity and the sequence of boxes containing w with the address which is the first finite concatenations of the address of w. Thus each point in the critical Cantor set is the limit of box domain, that is, $\{w\} = \bigcap_{N \geq 0} B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}$ where $\mathbf{w}_N$ is the subaddress of w for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}$ are forward invariant under $F^{2^{N+1}}$, for any given periodic point, say q both the box domain $B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}$ and q are invariant under $F^{2^{N+1}}$ for all big enough N. Moreover, due to the fact that $\mathrm{diam}(B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}) = C\sigma^n$ for some $C > 0$, we may assume that $B^N_{\mathbf{w}_N}$ is disjoint from $\{q\}$. Then $\mathrm{dist}(F^{2^N m}(q), F^{2^N m}(w)) \geq c_0$ for all $m \geq 2$ and for some $c_0 > 0$. Then $W^s(w)$ for each $w \in \mathcal{O}_F$ does not contain any other point in Per_F. Similarly, $W^s(\beta)$ for each $\beta \in \mathrm{Per}_F$ does not contain any other point in Per_F.

There exist two disjoint neighborhoods $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ and $B^n_{\mathbf{w}'_n}$ of $w \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $w' \in \mathcal{O}_F$ respectively for all sufficiently large n. Both $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ and $B^n_{\mathbf{w}'_n}$ are forward invariant under $F^{2^{n+1}}$. We may assume that $\overline{B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}}$ and $\overline{B^n_{\mathbf{w}'_n}}$ are disjoint and the minimal distance, $\mathrm{dist}_{\min}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}, B^n_{\mathbf{w}'_n}) \geq \varepsilon_0 > 0$ for all large enough n. Suppose that both w and w' are contained in the same stable manifold, $W^s(w)$ or $W^s(w')$. However, $\mathrm{dist}_W(w, w') \geq \varepsilon_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It contradicts the uniform contraction along strong stable manifold. Hence, $W^s(w) \cap \mathrm{Per}_F = \{w\}$ for each $w \in \mathrm{Per}_F$. □

References

[BC] Christian Bonatti and Sylvain Crovisier. Center manifolds for partially hyperbolic set without strong unstable connections, 2014.

[CEK] Pierre Coullet, Jean-Pierre Eckmann, and Hans Koch. Period doubling bifurcations for families of maps on $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Journal of Statistical Physics,* 25(1):1-14, 1981.

[CP] Sylvain Crovisier and Enrique R. Pujals. Essential hyperbolicity and homoclinic bifurcations. IMPA preprint, November 2010.

[dCLM] Andre de Carvalho, Mikhail Lyubich, and Marco Martens. Renormalization in the Hénon family I. *Journal of Statistical Physics,* 121:611-699, 2005.

[Dav] A. M. Davie. Period doubling for $C^{2+\epsilon}$ mappings. *Communications in Mathematical Physics,* 176:261-272, 1996

[dFdMP] Edson de Faria, Welington de Melo, and Alberto Pinto. Global hyperbolicity of renormalization for C^r unimodal mappings. *Annals of Mathematics,* 164:731-824, 2006.

[HLM] Peter Hazard, Mikhail Lyubich, and Marco Martens. Renormalizable Hénon-like map and unbounded geometry. *Nonlinearity,* 25(2):397-420, 2012.

[Nam] Young Woo Nam. *Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-like map.* PhD thesis, Stony Brook University, December 2011.

[Nam1] Young Woo Nam, Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-map I : Universality and reduction of ambient space, preprint (2014), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4289`, August 2014.

[Nam2] Young Woo Nam, Invariant space under Hénon renormalization : Intrinsic geometry of Cantor attractor, preprint (2014), available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4619`, August 2014.

[New] Sheldon Newhouse. Cone fields, domination and hyperbolicity. In *Modern dynamical systems and application,* pages 419-432. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

Hong-ik University